

REMARKS

The last Office Action has been carefully considered.

It is noted that claims 13-15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 of applicant's statement or the patent to Wong in view of the patents to Pet and Deutsch.

At the same time, the other claims are indicated as not rejected over the art.

With the present Amendment applicant clarified claim 1 more clearly to define the present invention. It is believed that the Examiner would have no objection to the clarification of this claim and this claim together with other claims would be considered as allowable.

Claim 13 has been amended by incorporating into it the allowable features of claim 16 and therefore this claim together with claim 18 which depends on it should also be considered as allowable.

Claim 17 which was considered as allowable by the Examiner has been replaced with a new independent claim 21 which combines the features of the original claims 13 and 17 and therefore should also be allowable as well.

In view of the above presented remarks and amendments, it is believed that the present application contains only allowable claims.

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal respects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned (at 631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,



-8-

Michael J. Striker  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 27233